L. R
(1891-92)

136291
144

Subj. Suspension of Shanbaji Ramaiah of Kibanahalli Tumkur Taluk

152-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

~~192~~ .

(L. R.)

File No.

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 4

Part B for September

SUBJECT.

Suspension of Shanbogue Ramiah of Kibbanahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

To Shanbogue Ramiah, Kibbanahalli, Tumkur

A.S. no. 29 dated 10-9-1892

Informing that the Deputy Commissioner has been directed to restore him to office.

Sh. No. 4

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Continued

Appeal No. 29 of 1892-93

Appellant -
Shambhoj Ramanna
of Kibbanahalli
Tipture Taluk.

On 14th February 1889,
the Patel of Kibbanahalli
deposed before the Amildar
that Shambhoj Ramanna,
Appellant, had cultivated
the Nirgunti Inam land,
that the Nirgunti was
in the Anche service,
that nobody was doing
the Nirgunti work. The
Appellant stated that
he cultivated the land
as required by ~~the~~
~~Nirgunti~~ Nirgunti
Patel corroborated
the Shambhoj. Thereupon
on 20th February 1889
the Dy Comr. dismissed
~~the Nirgunti~~ ~~who was~~
~~in the~~ Anche, and
suspended the Shambhoj
for having cultivated
the land without orders.
The Inam was at the
same time ordered to
be attached with the
crop

A Mr Krishnamachar

thereon. The Amildar reported that the Shambhog was not to blame as he had cultivated the land with the permission of the Patedar (~~Nigunti~~ Batta). The Divisional Officer directed the Amildar to carry out orders as regards the suspension of the Shambhog. ~~Not guilty~~ Batta left the Ahché service and resumed his work as Nigunti...) ~~the~~ same land was restored to him in Bego. Appellant's brother Hanumantaya has been doing the Shambhogi work. The Appellant requested the Dy Comr that his suspension might be cancelled. On 22 of April 1942 the Dy Comr ordered that as the period of suspension was not specified he could not interfere in the matter.

The appeal is against the above order.

The appellant contends that he is not to blame as he cultivated the land as required by the Patedar.

The Patel in his deposition before the Amildar dated 19th February 1889 states that the Inam land has waste up to & inclusive of the year 1887-88 & that the Shanbhog cultivated the land as required by Nirganti Patta on other only.

~~Under the circumstances of the case, the Govt consider that the Shanbhog did not deserve any punishment,~~ was wrong, not in having cultivated the land, but in not having reported to Govt that the Nirganti was supposed the Inam without rendering service.

For this fault the punishment he has already suffered is more than ample & the Govt direct that he be restored to office.

24/8/92

The point in issue is whether under the circumstances the Shanbhog was right in having cultivated the land without Govt. orders.

Nirganti Patta. He has according to his statement in his Arzee for 3 or 4 years paying the Jodi of the Inam land & getting his work of Nirganti done by ~~others~~

23/7/92

[illegible]

Received

MORE 17/5/92

No. 7 [illegible]
593
23-5-92

A.S.

To [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ਸੰ: 1892 ਵਿਚ ਪਾਸ ਕੀਤੇ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ [illegible] ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ [illegible]

1 ਸੰ: 1888-89 ਵਿਚ [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

7 [illegible]

8 [illegible]

(1) [illegible]
(2) [illegible]
(3) [illegible]
(4) [illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
3379
21 JUN. 92
P. 33

1891/92

Submitted to the council - with memo.
21/6/92.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated Camp Koratagere 15th June 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Records as in margin

General No. No 56

No 3803 of 1892

Secy's official Memorandum No 83 of the 4th Instant P.

Submits report on the petition of Shanbogue Ramia of Kibbankalli in the Tiptur Taluk praying for the cancellation of the order of his suspension from office, for having cultivated a Nergunti Inam land without permission —

Pep Bor
MSR 20/6/92

V. Senti for precisely the 3rd proximo.
20/6/92

B1
21-6-92

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District

No. 56. Camp Koratigere 15th June 1892

To.

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 83 of the 4th instant forwarding for submission of proceedings with a report a petition from Shanbogue Ramiah of Kibbanhalli in the Tiptur Taluk praying for the cancellation of the order of his suspension from office for having cultivated a Veerguntee Inam land without permission, I have the honor to submit herewith the record of the case and to state as follows.

2. In February 1889 the Patel of the village having brought to notice that the petitioner had without an order from government tilled the service Inam land of the village Veerguntee Betta, an enquiry was made. The petitioner

that he had tilled the land with the consent of the Neerguntee and the latter admitted having given his consent as he was praying the Jody. But as the Neerguntee was not at the time on his Neerguntee duty but employed in the late Arache Department (one Homna acting for him), the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. Krishnamurti Row ordered on the 20th February 1889 that the Inam land should be attached and the produce thereof kept in deposit, that the Neerguntee (Betta) should be dismissed as he was not doing the duties of the office but was employed in the Arché Department, that the Shanbogue who had taken liberty to cultivate the land without permission should be suspended, that the Amildar was to blame for not having taken notice of the matter and that another competent Neerguntee should be appointed

3. Accordingly the produce

(paddy and sugarcane) of the land was sold and the amount realized credited to Government after deducting the Jodi amount, and the petitioner was suspended and his younger brother Ammanmuthia appointed in his stead.

4. Subsequently the Amildar reported that the dismissed Veerganthy had lost his post in the Arache Department on its abolition and solicited (sanction to his re-employment as no other competent man could be found for filling his place). He was accordingly reinstated and his Jodi Amam-land restored to him.

5 In April 1892 the petitioner presented a petition to me) praying for the cancellation of the order of his suspension. But I stated that I could not interfere with my predecessor's order.

6 The petition received with the memorandum under reply is herewith

returned with its enclosures—

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most obedt Servant

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

The Brief Paper

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

R. No. 97-3

Appeal No. 29 of 1892-93

(4)

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Shanbogue Ramaiya
of Kibbanahalli,
Tiptur Taluk,

Decision. 26-8-92.

On 19th February 1889 the Patel of Kibbanahalli deposed before the Amildar that Shanbogue Ramaiya, Appellant, had cultivated the Kirganti Inam land; that the Kirganti Batta was in the Amildar's service; and that nobody was doing the Kirganti work. The Appellant stated that he cultivated the land ~~as regards~~ on behalf of the Kiraganti at his request & the latter ~~by~~ Batta ~~who corroborated the Shanbogue~~ confirmed his statement.

Whereupon on 20th February 1889 the Deputy Commissioner Sri Krishna Murti dismissed the Kirganti Batta, and suspended the Shanbogue for having cultivated the land without

orders. The Imam was at the same time ordered to be attached with the crop thereon. The Amildar reported that the Chambogue was not to blame as he had cultivated the land with the permission of the Inamdar Betta.

(XIX) The Deputy Commissioner refused to re-consider the case & directed the Amildar to carry out the orders already issued. ~~Sends the suspension of the Chambogue.~~

Betta left the Anche service and resumed his work as Sirgunti and the Inam land was restored to him in 1890. Appellant's brother Nanjunantaiya has been doing the Chambogui work. The Appellant requested the Deputy Commissioner that his suspension might be cancelled. On 22d April 1892, the Deputy Commissioner Rao Bahadur Varadaigar ordered that as the period of suspension was not specified, he could not interfere in the matter.

2 The appeal is against the above order.

3. The appellant contends that he is

not to blame as he cultivated the [illegible] as required by the Pattadar. The Pat[illegible] in his deposition before the Amildar dated 24th February 1889, states that the Inam land was waste up to and inclusive of the year 1887–88, and that the Shanbogue cultivated the land as required by Patta while the latter was in Mysore duty.

4. The Government consider that the Shanbogue was wrong, not in having cultivated the land, but in not having reported to Government that the Sirsgatti was enjoying the Inam without rendering service. For this fault the punishment he has already suffered is ~~more than enough~~ sufficient and the Government direct that he be restored to office.

4/9/92

By order,

[illegible]

Chief Secretary.

3893

Pet. F. 152-92 4/9/92

Copy to the Deputy Commr. Sunkur Dist. with the revd. papers received with his No. 55 of 15th June 92

By order,

Chief Secretary

Draft

A.d No. 29

(5)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Aug 8 1892.

Endorsement granted to Kambogne Ramiah s/o Hibbanahalli Sunkur Sh,
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 595 dated 26th May 92.

Petitioner is informed that the Dy. Comr has been directed to restore him to office.

2. The enclos: are returned.

"By Order,"

Draft. [illegible]

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

Send to sect with enclosures 10/8/92